



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/039,462	12/28/2001	Hyung-Suk Kim	42390P11941	1046
8791 7	590 07/12/2004		EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR			PARSONS, CHARLES E	
	S, CA 90025	NTHFLOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2000222	,		2613	1.
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/039,462	KIM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Charles E Parsons	2613	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	• •	is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed to the drawing of the correction	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b)⊠ objected to bedrawing(s) be held in abeyandion is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the cer	s have been received. s have been received in Ap ity documents have been r r (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	Immary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -	

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, a block diagram of the bit rate controller and a flow chart showing the method for quatization selection must be included or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(b) because they are incomplete. 37 CFR 1.83(b) reads as follows:

When the invention consists of an improvement on an old machine the drawing must when possible exhibit, in one or more views, the improved portion itself, disconnected from the old structure, and also in another view, so much only of the old structure as will suffice to show the connection of the invention therewith.

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure

Art Unit: 2613

number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chiang.
 - Claim 1, 13: A method of implementing video bit-rate control comprising:

 applying different quantization step-sizes to different portions of a frame being encoded.

 (See Chaing figure 4 item 440)

Art Unit: 2613

- Claim 2, 14, 15: The method of claim 1, wherein the different portions of the frame comprise contiguous, non-overlapping, equally sized portions. (By definition dividing the frame into macroblocks fits this by definition. See also Chaing column 3 lines 11-27))
- Claim 3, 16: The method of claim 1, wherein the quantization step-sizes are chosen based, at least in part, on the amount of variation in the pixel values of the particular portions of the frame. (See Chaing Column 8 lines 27-47)
- Claim 4, 17: The method of claim 3, wherein a measure of the variation in pixel values of the particular portions of the frame comprises sum of absolute differences (SAD). (See Chaing Column 8 lines 27-47)
- Claim 5, 18: The method of claim 3, wherein the quantization step-sizes are further chosen to substantially maintain a predetermined "bit budget." (See column 9 lines 37-58 as well as column 6 lines 8-12 and column 10 lines 56-61)
- Claim 6, 19: A method of implementing video bit-rate control comprising:

 selecting an acceptable quantization parameter for a frame; (This is conventionally done within MPEG encoders. See Column 1 lines 45-48

 selecting quantization parameters for portions of the frame based, at least in part, on the variation in pixel values of the particular portions of the frame; and adjusting the quantization parameters of the portions of the frame so as to achieve the acceptable quantization parameter for the frame. (See column 2 lines 16-24)
- Claim 7, 20: The method of claim 6, wherein the quantization parameters of the portions of the frame are adjusted independently. (See column 9 lines 37-55 as well as column 10 lines 13-15)

Art Unit: 2613

Claim 8, 9. The method of claim 6, wherein the portions of the frame comprise contiguous, nonoverlapping, substantially equally sized portions. (By definition dividing the frame into macroblocks fits this by definition. See also Chaing column 3 lines 11-27))

- Claim 10, 21: The method of claim 6, wherein the variation in pixel values of the particular portions of the frame is measured based, at least in part, on the sum of absolute differences (SAD). (See Chaing Column 8 lines 27-47)
- Claim 11, 22: The method of claim 6, wherein the acceptable quantization parameter for the frame is selected, based at least in part, on the variation in pixel values over the frame.

 (See Chaing Column 8 lines 27-47)
- Claim 12, 23: The method of claim 11, wherein the variation in pixel values over the frame is measured, at least in part, based on the sum of absolute differences (SAD). (See Chaing Column 8 lines 27-47)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles E Parsons whose telephone number is 703-305-3862. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 7AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on 703-305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2613

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CEP

Page 6